

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/704,848		11/02/2000	Mats Olsson	P12876US1	P12876US1 4869	
27045	7590	10/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERICSSON 6300 LEGA		C	WIMER, MICHAEL C			
M/S EVR C		E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PLANO, T	LANO, TX 75024			2828		
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ø
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/704,848	OLSSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Wimer	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	§
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perional Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind In the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	August 2005.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ · Claim(s) <u>1-23,25-34 and 37</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	• •	·	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23,25-34 and 37</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	•	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	е
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receive	d.	
144. oh o (0)			
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)	
Notice of Preferences Cited (F10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,5,7,11,12,16/11,16/12,17-19,24,25,27-29,31,32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casarez et al. (5913174) in view of Hayes et al. (5828342).

Regarding Claims 1,2,4,5,7,11,12,16/11,16/12,17-19,24,25,27-29,31,32 and 34, Casarez et al. show for example in Figures 1,4-6,11-14 and 31, an external antenna device 1 for a portable telecommunication apparatus comprising an antenna 63,65 arranged on at least one planar portion of a common support element 35 when the external antenna device is in an operational mode, the common support element comprising a flexible dielectric film 59 provided in a flexible housing 33 (col. 6, lines 43-59). Figure 12 shows the feed point in the gap 67 and ground point/portion 65 of the monopole antenna. Only a single antenna element arranged on the common support appears to be disclosed. However, the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art is such that antennas may always be pluralized for the purpose of multi-band operation thus widening the bandwidth, and in another instance for providing gain. A skilled artisan would

have looked to a multi-band antenna arrangement formed on a flexible substrate when multi-banding is a design objective.

Hayes et al. teach such an objective and show an antenna arrangement in the environment of a portable communication device, radio, etc., and a printed monopole operating in more than one frequency band and configured to permit spacing of radiating elements in a single plane (col. 1, lines 8-22 and 57-64). Specifically, Hayes et al. show an antenna arrangement and teach in column 3, lines 31-39, of a first antenna 18 mounted on a first side 14 of a substrate 12 and a second antenna 20 on the second side 16 of the substrate 12.

Casarez et al. teach in column 9, second and third paragraphs, that the card radio and antenna arrangement is versatile by changing the antenna connected to the card radio in a variety of applications.

Thus, Hayes et al. are cited as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art, and employ and teach in col.2, lines 26-49, two antenna elements operating on different frequency bands (col. 4, lines 5-25) and formed on a common, flexible support element 12 and on opposite sides thereof (col. 4, lines 50-53). Further regarding Claim 34, the specific frequency bands of operation are always obvious to the skilled artisan because antennas are frequency-scaled to operate on the FCC-assigned bands in a particular radio/antenna design. Further regarding Claims 17 and 18, the references suggest the bands of operation and services and thus are obvious to the skilled artisan.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,848

Art Unit: 2828

Hayes et al. also teach the use of over-molding (col. 4, lines 53-60) which is equivalent to applicant's "flexible housing."

Further regarding Claim 12, the PCMCIA radio card in Casarez et al. contains a p.c. board with radio circuitry and connector.

3. Claims 6,8-10,30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casarez et al. (5913174) in view of Hayes et al. (5828342) as applied to claims 1,4,5,6 and 25 above, and further in view of Korisch (5926139).

Regarding Claims 6,8-10,30 and 33, the antennas of the primary reference devices neither provide an antenna element as a PIFA nor a single antenna element used for two frequency bands. Thus, Korisch is cited as evidence of obviousness and as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art, and shows a PIFA radiating element comprised of two radiating portions 30 and 32, resonating on high and low frequency bands respectively (e.g., 1850-1990 MHz. and 824-896 MHz). It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ the planar, dual band PIFA of Korisch in the primary reference devices, particularly in an external antenna device mounted on a flexible board and dielectric housing.

4. Claims 13,15,16/15,20,22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casarez et al. (5913174) in view of Hayes et al. (5828342) as applied to claims 11 and 12 above, and further in view of Pankinaho (6140966).

The use of resilient contact pins is not taught in the primary reference devices.

Thus, Pankinaho is cited as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art

Art Unit: 2828

and as evidence of obviousness and shows, for example in Figures 7 and 8, a planar antenna and circuit board, interrelated much like applicant's arrangement, and employing three resilient contact pins or spring ledges 110',120' and 130', connected to the feed and ground points, as claimed, in order to effect electrical connection of the antenna 100 and printed circuit board 160. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to provide such pins to connect the primary reference device antennas to the circuit board of the portable radio.

5. Claims 14,16/14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casarez et al. (5913174) in view of Hayes et al. (5828342) and Pankinaho (6140966) as applied to claims 11-13 and 20 above, and further in view of Sciarretta et al. (6039580).

The specific resilient, electrical contacts, such as the pogo pins claimed here, do not appear to be taught in the reference devices. However, Sciarretta et al. are cited as evidence of obviousness and as resolving the level of ordinary skill in the antenna art and teaches pogo pins used for RF module connections of the antennas in a phased array. The pogo pins 256 are used to interconnect the RF connectors 248 and RF modules 246. It would have been obvious to employ such axially-resilient connectors in lieu of the spring-contact pins/ledges in Pankinaho in order to provide a more positive and efficient RF contact.

6. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casarez et al. (5913174) in view of Hayes et al. (5828342) as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Dietrich et al. (5552798).

Application/Control Number: 09/704,848

No common support element with planar portions arranged at an angle with respect to each other appears to be taught in the primary reference devices.

Thus, Dietrich et al. are cited as evidence of obviousness and as resolving the level of ordinary level of skill in the antenna art, and shows, for example in Fig. 7 a common support structure with portions 72 and 74 angled with respect to each other in order to receive the proper and efficient signal from satellites. A skilled artisan would recognize such an arrangement of planar antennas 76 on respective portions of the common support, 72,74 as obvious to apply in the reference devices for the reason of receiving multipath signals.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/1/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, the change in terms from "contained" to "provided" in independent Claims 1,11 and 25, actually describes the arrangement shown in Casarez et al. The flexible dielectric film of copper clad polyimide defining a flexible substrate 59, where the antenna itself is defined as flexible conductor 63,65 (as set forth by Casarez et al. at col. 6, lines 46-59). Applicant acknowledges such a construction in the sentence bridging pages 6 and 7 of the remarks. The basic structure is therefore taught. Flexible conductors are laminated to a strong flexible construction (59). These claims recite a flexible film (59) provided in a flexible outer housing (33) (see col. 5, lines 10-12). The claim language is fully met by this structure. The obviousness rejection makes it clear that pluralizing antenna elements is a very basic concept in antenna

design and well within the ordinary level of skill of an antenna artisan involved in design and production. Therefore, the rejections stand.

8. The patent to Kudoh et al. (5499398) is cited as of interest illustrating the basic components recited, particularly in Claims 1,11,20 and 25, where two antenna elements 3,4,41 are formed on a common support element comprising a flexible dielectric film, polyimide film 42 and provided in a flexible housing 1,2.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 10/11/2005